

**Maryland Board of Pharmacy  
Public Meeting  
Minutes**

**Date: September 20, 2010**

Name	Title	Present	Absent	Present	Absent
Bradley-Baker, L.	Commissioner		X	2	1
Chason, D.	Commissioner	X		2	1
Finke, H.	Commissioner	X		3	0
Gavgani, M. Z.				1	0
Handelman, M.	Commissioner	X		3	0
Israbian-Jamgochian, L.	Commissioner/Treasurer	X		3	0
Matens, R.	Commissioner	X		3	0
Souranis, M.	Commissioner//President	X		3	0
St. Cyr, II, Z. W.	Commissioner	X		2	1
Taylor, D.	Commissioner	X		3	0
Taylor, R.	Commissioner/Secretary	X		2	1
Zimmer, R.	Commissioner	X		2	1
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel	X		2	0
Gibbs, F.	Board Counsel	X		2	0
Banks, T.	MIS Manager		X	2	1
Gaither, P.	Administration and Public Support Manager	X		3	0
Jeffers, A.	Legislation/Regulations Manager		X	2	1
Naesea, L.	Executive Director	X		3	0
Waddell, L.	Executive Secretary	X		3	0

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
<b>I. Executive Committee Report(s)</b>	<b>A. M. Souranis, Board President</b>	<p><i>Members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda are advised to notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>M. Souranis called the Public Meeting to order at 9:39 a.m.</b></li> <li><b>M. Souranis requested all meeting attendees to introduce themselves and to remember to sign the guest list before leaving the meeting. M. Souranis asked guest to (Please indicate on sign-in sheet if they are requesting CE Units for attendance).</b></li> <li><b>M. Souranis reported that guests will be given packets of materials so that they can follow meeting discussions. He requested that all guest return their draft packets before they leave the meeting</b></li> <li><b>M. Souranis asked all members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda to notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.</b></li> <li><b>Review &amp; Approval of Minutes of August 18, 2010, 2010</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>5. Motion:</b> R. Matens made a motion to approve the July minutes as amended.</p> <p><b>D. Chason</b> seconded the motion.</p>	<b>5. Board Action:</b> The Board

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
				voted to approve the minutes.
II. Staff Operations Report (s)	A. L. Naesea, Executive Director	<p>1. L. Naesea reported on the following Operation Updates:</p> <p>a. The Board currently has 5 vacancies: Licensing Manager, Licensing Secretary, Compliance Manager, Compliance Investigator, Compliance Inspector, and one additional staff member on extended sick leave, Pharmacist Inspector.</p> <p>b. Kimberly France has resigned her position as Pharmacist Compliance Manager and has moved to Chicago and Investigator Colin Eversley has resigned to move to Georgia.</p> <p>c. The Board will be interviewing for the Licensing Secretary soon. The freeze exemption for the Compliance Inspector position has been received. The Board is awaiting the closing date for acceptance of applications.</p> <p>2. L. Naesea reported on the following Meeting Updates:</p> <p>a. L. Naesea attended the Directors Meeting to discuss the expungement of records draft response to the legislative mandate that required the Health Occupation Board to consider the feasibility of expunging disciplinary records after a certain period. . The draft letter indicated that Federal laws require all Boards to report disciplinary records in the in HIPDB database and they can not be expunged, so it would not be feasible to or practical to expunge State records.</p> <p>b. L. Naesea attended a meeting with the Division of Drug Control to discuss the relationship with the Board. As a result of the meeting, both the Board and the Division of Drug Control agreed that they should meet quarterly to discuss the inspection issues.</p> <p>c. The Federal Government has a new "National Take back Initiatives, which will allow consumers to take their unused and expired drugs to a nearby police barrack to drop off. The Initiative will be conducted on September 25, 2010.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>3. L. Naesea reported on the following Legislative Audit/Sunset Review Updates:</p> <p>a. The Auditors have begun to look at our budget but have not begun to review program performance. The Board is reviewing procedures in every unit to insure that they conform to audit requirements.</p> <p>4. L. Nasesa reported on the following Board Member Appointments:</p> <p>a. The Board welcomed Harry Finke who has been re-appointed for another 4 years.</p> <p>b. The Board welcomed Mitra Z. Gavgani who is a new appointee representing of the Home Infusion Care slot.</p> <p>c. The Board announced Zeno St. Cyr who was appointed last month as a Consumer Member.</p>		
	B. P. Gaither, APS Manager	<p>1. P. Gaither reported on the following Staffing Updates:</p> <p>a. The interview process for the Licensing Secretary has begun.</p> <p>b. The recruitment process for the Pharmacy Technician Inspector and Compliance Manager has begun and Interviews for the Licensing Secretary position are being scheduled.</p> <p>c. Emory Linn, Compliance Inspector Lead, is on extended sick leave.</p> <p>d. Keisha Wise, Licensing Specialist is expected to go out on maternity leave and the Board has begun the process of requesting a temporary position.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p><b>2. P. Gaither reported on the following Contract Updates:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The Systems Automation contract is in final process for signing.</li> <li>b. The Newsletter contract has been sent to the League for Disabilities for printing.</li> <li>c. The RCI contract for the Help Desk person will be extended.</li> <li>d. The NABP contract for out-of-state inspections of wholes sale distributors has been extended.</li> <li>e. The requisition for the Law Books has been sent out.</li> </ul> <p><b>3. P. Gaither reported on the following Public Relation Updates:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The Pharmacist Continuing Education Breakfast will be held on October 3, 2010 at the Radisson at Cross Keys. The Board has seating for 150 of which 122 have been reserved. The Board will be honoring 10 Pharmacist who have practiced for 60 or more years. An article will be placed in the Fall newsletter. The Public Relations Committee is waiting on a few articles; the deadline was September 10, 2010.</li> <li>b. The Annual Report is expected to be completed in mid October.</li> <li>c. D. Taylor reported that the volunteer training for Emergency</li> </ul>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>Preparedness will be held on November 21, 2010 at the BWI Westin Hotel from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. The training offers 4 hours of live continuing education credits. To make reservations contact Janet Seeds, Maryland Board of Pharmacy should be made.</p>		
	<p><b>C. T. Banks, MIS Manager</b></p>	<p><b>1. T. Banks reported on the following MIS Updates:</b></p> <p>a. MIS is cleaning up the database to prepare for the installation of the new database system.</p> <p>b. MIS and D. Chason worked on a new format of the State Stats. MIS asked for any feedback from the Board before the new format is implemented.</p> <p>c. MIS has instituted a new database function to allow new Pharmacy Technicians applicants to view and check the status of their applications.</p>		
	<p><b>D.LaVerne Naesea, Acting Licensing Manager</b></p>	<p><b>L. Naesea reported on the following Licensing Unit Updates:</b></p> <p>a. The Wholesale Distributors renewal period starts October 1, 2010. NABP will be getting requests for VAWD accreditations from out-of-state distributors. Distributors located in states that have requirements that are similar</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>to Maryland are considered to be reciprocal states and would be required to meet the requirements of the states in which they are located (among other requirements, e.g., obtain a surety bond). However if the state where these distributors are located do not enforce their requirements they would be required to be VAWD accredited. The Board learned the State of New Jersey does not perform inspections because they do not have the staff resources. In order for the distributors in New Jersey to be renewed, they would have to apply for and acquire VAWD accreditation because they would not qualify for reciprocity.</p> <p>b. The Board will have to develop regulation for the closing of distributors.</p> <p>c. The Commission On Nursing and Allied Health sent the Board an invitation to come out and speak about the Pharmacy Technician Program on November 14, 2010. Unfortunately the</p>	<p>b. Action Items:</p> <p>Practice Committee will develop regulations for the closing of distributors</p> <p>c. Action Item: R. Zimmer will go out and speak about the Pharmacy</p>	

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>invitation did not provide an address or other contact information for the Board to respond.</p> <p>d. ICPT Program has been merged with the National Career Health Inst The National Career Institute sent the Board a letter informing it of the change. The program remains the same but the certificates issued with use the National Career Health Institute heading and logo.</p> <p>e. A few Pharmacy Technician applicants have applied under the Grandfather rules. The Licensing Committee would like for the Grandfathered application to be removed from the website because Maryland Technicians should have been already registered if they applied for Grandfathered status.</p>	<p>Technician Training Program, if the Board can contact the commission in time.</p> <p>e. Motion: D. Chason made a motion to remove the Grandfathered application from the website.</p> <p>R. Zimmer seconded the motion.</p>	<p>e. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>
	<p>E. L. Israbian-Jamgochain</p>	<p>1. L. Israbian-Jamgochain reported on the statistics for PEAC.</p> <p>2. L. Naesea reported that the Board met with PEAC to discuss the following issues:</p> <p>a. Whether or not PEAC can attend all hearings. It was clarified in writing that PEAC can only attend full evidentiary hearings.</p> <p>b. PEAC is to report annual, monthly and/or program summaries</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>the Board as requested. The Board asked T. Tomassello to provide an annual report for the year ending June 30, 2010 FY 2010.</p> <p>c. Board Members can not become PEAC Board Directors nor engage in program and operation decisions for PEAC because of the contractual relationship between the Board and PEAC.</p> <p>3. T. Tomasello reported that PEAC will host a 4 hour continuing education credit program on September 25, 2010. T. Tomasello invited S. Kreindler and Board Members to attend at no cost. T. Tomasello reported that PEAC has published their second newsletter and also have an active Facebook page.</p> <p>4. L. Naesea suggested that the Board meet with PEAC quarterly for educational purposes.</p>	<p>4. Motion: H. Finke made a motion to meet with PEAC quarterly.  Z. St. Cyr seconded the motion.</p>	<p>4. Board Action:  The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>
	F. A. Jeffers, Rgs/Lgs. Manager	<p>1. L. Naesea reported on the following Status of Proposed Regulations</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a. <u>10.34.20 Format of Prescription Transmission</u></p> <p>Notice of Final Action submitted August 23, 2010</p>		



Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>b. <b><u>10.34.25 Delivery of Prescriptions</u></b> Submitted for publication on August 4, 2010.</p> <p>c. <b><u>10.34.28 Automated Medication Systems</u></b> Reproposal to be submitted for publication. Board response to Kaiser Permanente comment presented for ratification: <b>Board Response - Comment from July Bd Mtg-10.34.28-KP 091510</b></p> <p>d. <b><u>10.13.01 Dispensing of Prescription Drugs by a Licensee</u></b> The Board has invited representatives from the stakeholder Boards to join us for a meeting per direction from Wendy Kronmiller on September 30, 2010 at 10 am.</p> <p>e. <b><u>10.07.14.29 Medication Management and Administration</u></b> - Nancy Grimm responded by e-mail that she would take care of our request to revisit pharmacist quarterly review in assisted living facilities.</p> <p><b>2 L. Naesea reported on the following Status of Proposed Legislation</b></p> <p>a. Legislative Proposal submitted to the Office of Governmental Affairs on July 14, 2010: Health Occupations - Pharmacy – Licensure of Pharmacists</p>	<p><b>1.c. Motion:</b> R. Zimmer made a motion to ratify the letter to Kaiser Permanente.</p> <p><b>D. Chason</b> seconded the motion.</p>	<p><b>1.c. Board Action:</b> The Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p><b>2. Board Action:</b> The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		b. Legislative Proposal completed - to the Office of Governmental Affairs on August 20, 2010: Health-General – Prescription Drug Repository Program – Disposal		
III. Committee Reports	A. R. Zimmer, Chair, Practice Committee	<p>1. R. Zimmer reported on the following Review of Draft Regulations</p> <p>a. <u>10.34.03 Inpatient Institutional Pharmacy</u></p> <p>(i) Board responses to informal comments to be approved today.</p> <p>Informal Comments and Board Responses:</p> <p>1) Jacob Raitt - Informal Comment - 10.34.03</p> <p>Board Response to Informal Comment – 10.34.03 - Jacob Raitt II</p> <p>2) JHMI - Informal Comment - 10.34.03 071610</p> <p>Board Response to Informal Comment – 10.34.03 – JHMI II</p> <p>3) KWalter - Sheppard - Informal Comment - 10 34 03_4</p> <p>Board Response to Informal Comment – 10.34.03 – Sheppard II</p> <p>4) Md Hosp Assoc - Valarie Shearer Overton - Informal Comment - 10.34.03. rtf</p> <p>This response includes all the revisions requested from the all</p>	<p>Ai. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to approve the letters as written.</p> <p>D. Chason seconded the motion</p>	<p>Ai. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p><b>the informal comments:</b></p> <p>The proposed .16 Pharmaceutical Care Functions of the Pharmacist, Section C, states:</p> <p>C. Providing care directly to the patient to improve a patient's quality of life through achievement of definite and predefined, medication-related therapeutic outcomes such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Cure of disease;</li> <li>(2) Elimination or reduction of symptomatology;</li> <li>(3) Arresting or slowing of a disease process;</li> <li>(4) Prevention of a disease or symptomatology; and</li> <li>(5) Improving patient's quality of life.</li> </ul> <p>MHA had inquired if this section was intended to expand the scope of practice for all pharmacists. The Board has determined that this is not an expansion of pharmacy scope of practice, but an expansion of the regulations to include the existing practice of pharmacists.</p> <p>Please be advised that other entities have provided informal comments and suggestions for revisions. The revisions to the regulations, which the Board approved at the September 15, 2010 public Board Meeting are as follows:</p> <p><b>.02B:</b> The Board agrees that the definitions for "dispense" and "distribute" should be added for consistency with other laws and regulations. The definitions were copied from Health Occupations Article, 12-101, Annotated Code of Maryland.</p> <p><b>.02B(4):</b> The Board decided to keep the definition of "drug" as is, but to change "diagnosis <u>and</u> treatment" to "diagnosis <u>or</u> treatment."</p> <p><b>.02B(8)(b) (now (10)):</b> After considering a suggestion to add "and/or" after "sale" and before "dispensing" in the following subsection, the Board determined that "sale" should be deleted and replaced with</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>"distribute."</p> <p><u>(10)</u> "Institutional pharmacy" means a pharmacy <del>[whether centralized or decentralized,]</del> which:</p> <p>(b) Engages in <del>the</del> compounding, <del>[sale]</del> <u>distributing</u>, or dispensing of drugs;</p> <p><u>.02B(10) (now (12))</u>: add in "administration instructions" to the items that should be included on a medication order.</p> <p><u>.02B(11) (now (13))</u>: The Board will move "institutional" before the word "approved protocol."</p> <p><u>.06D(1)</u>: The Board agrees that "alarm system" should be changed to "security system" to encompass a more wide variety of security systems such as traceable card key access.</p> <p><u>.07B</u>: It was requested that specific detail be added to .07 Physical Requirements and Equipment. The Board does not want to include that specific level of detail. The Board decided to add "adequate" before "physical facilities."</p> <p><u>.08T</u>: The Board agrees to remove the second "the" in the phrase as a grammatical correction.</p> <p><u>.09B(1)-(11)</u>: Since the pharmacists' names reside in the front of the log book there would be no need for the name and initials on the repackaging log. The Board recommends revising .09B by deleting: "lot number and expiration date assigned by the pharmacy documented in a" and deleting "if kept" in that section since a master log is required to be kept. The items to be included in the master log will be revised placing the manufacturer's lot number and the manufacturer's expiration date first in the list. Items (4) and (5) will be placed together since those items include the lot number and expiration date assigned by the pharmacy.</p> <p><u>.09D</u>: It was questioned if it is necessary to put both the brand name and the generic name on the product if the manufacturer's packaging only indicates the generic name. The Board agrees and will add the words "if appropriate".</p> <p><u>.11C(5)(b)</u>: The Board agrees that the shortest expiration date is often difficult to determine on emergency drugs and supplies and has added "and (d) Highlighting the expiration date of the medication with the shortest expiration date."</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p><b>.12B(1)(b):</b> Keeping in mind that order sets must be tied to a protocol, but not all protocols for drug therapy management within a hospital must be accompanied by an order set, the Board will revise this section by adding the word “exist” after “protocol orders” and deleting the remainder of the sentence.</p> <p><b>.12B(1)(c):</b> For consistency with the change in .12B(1)(b), the Board will delete the first “the” in the second line and replace with the word “any.”</p> <p><b>.12B(2):</b> The Board will adopt the suggested revision that an unapproved list of abbreviations should appear on a published list because it is consistent with the Joint Commission choosing a list of unapproved abbreviations rather than approved ones.</p> <p><b>.13A(2)(a)(b)&amp;(c):</b> The Board agreed to revise the introductory words for consistent grammar.</p> <p><b>.13A(2)(b):</b> Under the controlled dangerous substance regulation within this chapter it was questioned which inventory the director of pharmacy would be entering documentation. For clarification purposes the Board will add the word “pharmacy” before inventory.</p> <p><b>.15B:</b> The Board agrees to take out “attending” because it might not always be appropriate before the word prescriber.</p> <p><b>.16A – C:</b> The Board will revise introductory words in these sections for consistent grammar.</p> <p><b>Board Response to Informal Comment – 10.34.03 – Md Hosp Assoc. II</b></p> <p><b>5) Thomas - Informal Comment - Institutional practices - submi_1</b></p> <p><b>Board Response to Informal Comment – 10.34.03 – Thomas II</b></p> <p>(ii) Revised proposal to be approved by the Board for submission for publication.</p> <p><b>Draft proposal - 10.34.03 - released Informal 062410 revised by</b></p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p><b><u>Aug 2010 Practice for Sept Bd Mtg</u></b></p> <p>b. <b><u>10.34.23 Pharmaceutical Services to Patients in Comprehensive Care Facilities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>10.34.23v4_9794_1 Reproposal 090710</u></b></p> <p>Adding the requirement that the Director of Pharmacy be on site and responsible for only one pharmacy at a time</p> <p>c. <b><u>10.34.35 Home Infusion Pharmacy Services</u></b></p> <p>Proposal to be approved by the Board for release for informal comment.</p> <p><b><u>DRAFT 10 34 35 HI Regs 082510 Practice Revisions</u></b></p>	<p><b>Aii. Motion:</b> D. Chason made a motion to approve the proposal as written for submission to DHMH.</p> <p><b>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>1. b. Motion:</b> Practice Committee made a motion to approve the re-proposal for submission to DHMH.</p> <p><b>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>1.c. Motion:</b> Practice Committee made a motion to approve</p>	<p><b>Aii. Board Action:</b> The Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p><b>1.b. Board Action:</b> The Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p><b>1. c. Board Action:</b> The</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>d. CLIA – Reid Zimmer will report on the LAC meeting on August 31, 2010.</p> <p>The Board recommends writing a comment concerning the economic impact of charging a fee to be able to perform CLIA waived tests. Discussion ensued concerning pharmacists teaching patients how to use tests, as opposed to charging patients to perform those tests. Additionally the regulations should be clarified to allow pharmacists to not only order the tests, but also to perform them. LaVerne recommended including in the comment that the Board applauds the efforts that have been made to revise the regulations.</p> <p><b>2. R. Zimmer reported on the following Legislation:</b></p> <p>2010 SB 761/HB 1335 Mental Health – Local Correctional Facilities – Incarcerated Individuals with Mental Illness</p> <p><b>Draft Response RE providing medications to inmates on release</b></p>	<p>release of the proposed regulations for informal comment.</p> <p>D. Chason seconded the motion.</p> <p>1.d. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to submit comments for approval.</p> <p>H. Finke seconded the motion.</p> <p>2. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to take the letter back to the Practice Committee.</p> <p>M. Handelman seconded the motion.</p>	<p>Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p>1.d. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p>2. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>





Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>Final Action is anticipated to be published in the Maryland Register on September 24, 2010. To access the Maryland Register go to:  <a href="http://www.dsd.state.md.us/MDRegister/mdregister.aspx">http://www.dsd.state.md.us/MDRegister/mdregister.aspx</a></p> <p><b>Methadone:</b>  Please be advised that there are still many Methadone Clinics in Maryland and a pharmacist may not fill a methadone prescription for a methadone maintenance program patient. If a patient is not in a methadone maintenance program, then the pharmacist may fill a prescription for methadone. The prescriber is not required to write "for pain" on the prescription.</p> <p>c) Kirk Peterson, Wellfount</p> <p><b><u>Remote auto med systems - non-resident pharmacies 080310</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Draft Response -remote auto med systems - non-resident pharmacies</u></b></p> <p>As set forth in my previous e-mail, an out of state pharmacy providing LTC pharmaceutical services to citizens of Maryland is required to be licensed as a Maryland non-resident pharmacy. If the pharmacy is dispensing through a remote automated medication system then it must comply with the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.34.28 Automated Medication Systems along with the Maryland Pharmacy Act and the remainder of the pharmacy regulations.</p> <p>Long term care facilities are regulated by the Maryland Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Please refer to OHCQ for additional requirements. <a href="http://www.dhmq.state.md.us/ohcq/">http://www.dhmq.state.md.us/ohcq/</a></p> <p>d) George Garmer, Halethorpe Pharmacy</p> <p><b><u>Protocol for Pharmacy based Immunization</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Draft Response - protocol for pharmacy based immunizations</u></b></p> <p>The Maryland Pharmacy Act and regulations do not address physician liability. A protocol for the administration of immunizations does not</p>	<p><b>3c. Motion:</b>  Practice Committee made a motion to accept the letter as written.</p> <p><b>D. Taylor</b>  seconded the motion.</p> <p><b>3d. Motion:</b>  Practice Committee made a motion to accept the letter as written.</p>	<p><b>3c. Board Action:</b>  The Board voted to approve the motion.</p> <p><b>3d. Board Action:</b>  The Board voted to approve the motion.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>protect the pharmacist or the physician from liability.</p> <p>e) John D. Ness, AAHS</p> <p><b>Pharm Tech - Renewal Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Draft Response - pharm tech renewal requirement</b></p> <p><b>As amended:</b></p> <p>The Board considered your request, but will not be making a statute and/or regulatory change to require that a registered pharmacy technician in Maryland maintain national certification as a renewal requirement. Applicants for registration as pharmacy technicians are not required to be certified by a national pharmacy technician certification program. They may also be approved for registration if they have successfully completed a pharmacy technician training program approved by the Board, passed an examination approved by the Board and satisfied the remainder of the requirements set forth in the law and regulations. See the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.34.34.01 - .11</p> <p>An employer, however; may make national certification a requirement of employment.</p> <p><b>4. Letters for Board Approval</b></p> <p>a) Thishanthi Wijewickrama</p> <p><b>TheraCom - CVS - faxed prescriptions</b></p> <p><b>Draft Letter Response - Headers on faxed prescriptions</b></p> <p>Please be advised that it is a pharmacy best practice that all faxed prescriptions received by the pharmacy for dispensing have a "header" that includes the name of the office/prescriber and the facsimile number. If no "header" is present on a prescription received by</p>	<p><b>D. Chason seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>3e.1 Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to accept the letter as written.</b></p> <p><b>D. Chason seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>3e. 2 Motion: H. Finke made a motion to accept letter as amended.</b></p> <p><b>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>4a. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to approve the letter as written.</b></p> <p><b>D. Chason seconded the</b></p>	<p><b>3e. 2 Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p> <p><b>4a. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>facsimile, the pharmacist should call the prescriber to verify the prescription.</p> <p>b) Susan Leslie Wagner, Cardinal Health</p> <p><b><u>Cardinal Health - Institutional Pharmacy Dispensing</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Draft Letter Response - Cardinal Hlth - Hospital Pharm dispensing</u></b></p> <p><b><u>As amended:</u></b></p> <p>1) Can an institutional pharmacy provide a 72hr supply of medications for patients that are leaving an inpatient psych treatment facility within the same hospital system as long as all requirements under 12-505 are met?</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>2) Would the pharmacy then meet the wholesale distributor definition under HO, 12-6C-01(v), Annotated Code of Maryland and require additional licensing or are there exceptions they would fall under otherwise?</p> <p>If prescriptions are dispensed pursuant to a patient specific prescription, the pharmacy does not need a wholesale distributor permit.</p> <p>3) Since this is not an ER would each of the MD's need to apply for a dispensing license?</p> <p>Since you have referenced the existence of an institutional pharmacy, there would be no need for the physician to <b>dispense at the time of discharge.</b></p> <p>4) I thought I had read at one time that Maryland had specific requirements that the patient must be provided a choice of pharmacy before dispensing could occur. This may also not apply in this situation since it is not a full dispense or would it?</p>	<p>motion.</p> <p><b>4b. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to accept the letter as amended.</b></p> <p><b>D. Chason seconded the motion.</b></p>	<p><b>4b. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>Typically institutions dispense to a patient a limited supply upon discharge and also give the patient a prescription to take to a pharmacy of their choice.</p> <p>5) Could a 7-day vs. 72hr supply be provided?</p> <p>It is up to the prescriber whether a 7 day or 72 hour supply is provided.</p> <p>c) Mahro M. Ershadi, Suburban Hospital</p> <p><b><u>MM6121CMS</u></b></p> <p><b><u>pneumo CDC document</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Vaccinations - Inpatient Letter to BOP 8-13-10.doc</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Draft Letter Response - vaccine administration in hospital</u></b></p> <p><b><u>As amended</u></b></p> <p>A pharmacist may administer <u>influenza</u> vaccinations to patients in an in-patient setting based on a protocol approved by the hospital medical staff. For herpes zoster and pneumococcal pneumonia a <b>prescription or chart order</b> is required for each patient in addition to a protocol from a licensed physician to the pharmacist. In addition, pharmacists may only administer immunizations to patients who are adults, 18 years old or older. See Health Occupations Article, 12-508, Annotated Code of Maryland and the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.34.32.01 - .09</p> <p>d) Lisa M. White, Wal-Mart</p> <p><b><u>Wal-mart Pharmacy Prescription Label Change</u></b></p> <p><b><u>New Image</u></b></p>	<p><b>4c. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to accept the letter as amended.</b></p> <p><b>D. Chason seconded the motion.</b></p>	<p><b>4c. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p><b><u>New Image II</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Draft Letter Response - Walmart - Label logo change</u></b></p> <p>Please be advised that a pharmacy is not required to obtain the Board's approval when changing the pharmacy's logo on a prescription label so long as all labeling requirements are met.</p> <p><b>5. Workgroup/Sub-committee/Task Force Reports - None</b></p>	<p><b>4d. Motion: Practice Committee made a motion to approve the letter as written.</b></p> <p><b>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</b></p>	<p><b>4d. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
	B. D. Chason, Chair, Licensing Committee	<p>D. Chason reported on the following Licensing Committee Updates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recommended Technician Training Programs:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Northern Pharmacy- Licensing Committee recommended that Northern Pharmacy Technician Training Program be denied due to the Pharmacy resubmitting the program on 4 different occasions and the requirements of the Board has not been met.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Recommended Drug Repositories/Drop Off Sites               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Pemberton Pharmacy (Repository)</li> <li>b. Tidewater Pharmacy(Repository)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<p><b>1a. Motion: Licensing Committee made a motion to deny the program.</b></p> <p><b>H. Finke seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>2a. Motion: Licensing Committee made a motion to table Pemberton Pharmacy application back to the committee for further review.</b></p> <p><b>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</b></p> <p><b>2b. Motion: Licensing Committee made a motion to accept Tidewater Pharmacy as an approved</b></p>	<p><b>1a. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p> <p><b>2a. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion.</b></p> <p><b>2b. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion</b></p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
		<p>c. WellCare Pharmacy(Drop-off Site)</p> <p>d. Klein's Shoprite (Repository)</p>	<p>repository site.</p> <p>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</p> <p>2c. Motion: Licensing Committee made a motion to approve Well Care Pharmacy as a drop-off site.</p> <p>R. Matens seconded the motion.</p> <p>2d. Motion: Licensing Committee made a motion to accept Klein's Shoprite Pharmacy as a repository site.</p> <p>D. Taylor seconded the motion.</p>	<p>2c. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion</p> <p>2d. Board Action: The Board voted to approve the motion</p>
	C. L. Israbian-Jamgochian , Chair Disciplinary	L.Naesea reported that she met with the Pharmacy Inspectors and requested that the Inspectors checked the applications before they make an inspection to determine whether the Pharmacy is a Repository, Drop-off, or Vaccination site. She said until supplemental inspection forms are created the inspectors should provide a general		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Board Action
	Committee	review of the records if they are approved for any of these statuses.		
IV. Other Business	A. M. Souranis	None		
	B. Board Member Updates	M. Handelman reported that the Long-Term Care Committee is working on changing the labeling on single dose packaging and expiration dates of lot numbers. The Committee would like to change the inspection form once there is clarity in the regulation on the wording and expiration dates. Nikki Brandt, School of Pharmacy and Office of Health Care Quality review the check list for facilities and would like the Board to look at the State of Virginia's regulations in comparison to Maryland's for Assisted Living regulations.		
V. Adjournment	M. Souranis, Board President	<p>The Public Meeting was adjourned at 12:53 P.M.</p> <p>B. At 1:46 P.M. M. Souranis convened a Closed Public Session to conduct a medical review of technician applications.</p> <p>C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned at 2:48P.M. Immediately thereafter, M. Souranis convened an Administrative Session for purposes of discussing confidential disciplinary cases. With the exception of cases requiring recusals, the Board members present at the Public Meeting continued to participate in the Administrative Session.</p>	<p><b>R. Matens made a motion to close the Public Meeting and open a Closed Public Meeting.</b></p> <p><b>H. Finke seconded the motion.</b></p>	<b>Board Action:</b> The Board voted to approve the motion.